



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,492	05/02/2001	Ian Zvonko Janoska	16720-3	6282
7590 08/17/2005			EXAMINER	
Clifford W. Browning			MCFADDEN, SUSAN IRIS	
	ardt, Naughton, Moriarty	& McNett		
Bank One Center/Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700			2655	
Indianapolis, I	N 46204			

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/847,492	JANOSKA, IAN ZVONKO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susan McFadden	2655			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	l. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron tte. cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12	July 2005.				
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.				
	•				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,9,12-17,20,22-24,28,29,35,36</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3,8,9,12-17,20,22-24,28,29,35,36</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration. 6,39-42, and 46-53 is/are rejected.	in the application.			
Application Papers					
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the l					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applica iority documents have been receiv au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [y (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/847,492 Page 2

Art Unit: 2655

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it contains the words "Disclosed". Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

2. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 1-3 are objected to because of the following informalities: There is no antecedent basis for "said mobile object" in the claims. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1-3,8,9,12-17,20,22-24,28,29,35,36, 39-42, and 46-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kennedy, III et al. (6,535,743) in view of Fowler et al. (6,212,474).

In regard to claims 1-3,8,9,35,36, Kennedy, III et al. show in Figure 1 and the Abstract, a system and method for monitoring the location of a mobile object (motor vehicle) comprising: (i) apparatus located with a mobile object, said apparatus

Page 3

comprising: a position determination device for determining coordinate data relating to the location of said object (GPS, item 48), said apparatus is further configured to provide verbal navigational information to an occupant of said mobile vehicle (Abstract, item 22); a database for relating said coordinate data to textually descriptive data (memory, item 40, GPS systems (streets)) relating to the position of said object: a speech processor for generating a verbal message based on said textually descriptive data (item 50), which comprises at least one item from the group of items consisting of place names, suburbs, or street names; a radio communication device (cellular telephone device) for communicating said verbal message, further comprising a human speech interpretation device for interpreting verbal commands of said user for controlling functional features, issued via said communications apparatus (IVR, item 50, col. 4, col. 7, In 32-46), and a processor coupled to said position determination device and said database and said radio communication device for controlling generation and communication of said verbal message; and (ii) communications apparatus (mobile cell phone, item 42) operable by a user of said system and configurable to receive communication of said verbal message from said apparatus and provide an audible representation thereof to the user, (who can be in the car or remote from the system (item 16), items 50,22, col. 4). Kennedy et al. shows that the system does get input from outside sources on a communications network which is more accurate because the directions and updates are given in real-time and does not specifically show that it is a stand-alone apparatus. Fowler et al. show a system and method that uses a standalone (col. 6, In 62-66) navigation device for use in vehicles that generate instructions to

Application/Control Number: 09/847,492

Art Unit: 2655

the user (col. 5-6, Fig. 1, items 111, 110, 131, 127, 112,124,140). Therefore, it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add the system of Fowler as a backup system in case Kennedy's system goes down because it would provide more ways of generating the desired navigational data.

In regard to claims 12,39, and 46, Kennedy et al. show that the textually descriptive data comprises at least one item from the group of items consisting of: a street name; a suburb name; and a place name (restaurant name, directions include street names, col. 14, ln 24-28).

In regard to claims 13,40, and 47, Kennedy et al. show that the mobile object is a motor vehicle (Fig. 1, item 25).

In regard to claims 14-17,41,42, and 48-53, Kennedy et al. show said apparatus is further configured to provide verbal navigational information to an occupant of said motor vehicle (col. 14, ln 24-28), which can be covertly installed in a vehicle; said position determination device comprises a Global Positioning System (GPS, Fig 1, item 48) device and said radio communication device comprises a cellular telephone device (Fig. 1, item 42); communications apparatus comprises a telephone apparatus (item 42) which can be a cellular mobile telephone apparatus.

In regard to claims 20,22,23,24, and 28-29, Kennedy et al. show establishing said radio communications link is performed by said user and said step of delivering said verbal message is performed using a cellular telephone network which can be audibly announced using a cellular mobile telephone apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan McFadden whose telephone number is 571-272-7621. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wayne Young can be reached on 571-272-7582. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan McFadden Primary Examiner Art Unit 2655

August 10, 2005